THE MEDICATION-RELATED CONSULTATION FRAMEWORK (MRCFr)

- A reflective tool for evaluating the consultation skills of pharmacy practitioners

After you have undertaken a medicines use review (MUR), please take some time to reflect on your ability to consult effectively with a patient. Tick the relevant box to indicate how much you agree with the following statements which should help you to identify your strengths and weaknesses for each section. It is important that you are aware of these in order to build on your existing strengths, whilst areas of weakness are used to inform your CPD plan and record entries for improving your consultation skills. The global rating at the end of sections A to D will help you to evaluate whether you were able to meet the aim of those sections and the final overall rating asks you to reflect on your overall impression of the consultation.

Please consider whether during the MUR you carried out the following activities when undertaking a consultation with the patient: 1 = not at all, 2 = touched upon; 3 = adequate; 4 = very good; N/a = not applicable

How well did you ...

1101	now well did you											
	A. INTRODUCTION			2	3	4	N/a		"STRENGTHS"			
A1.	Introduce yourself to patient											
A2.	Confirm the patient's identity (e.g. nam	e plus address or date of birth)										
A3.	Discuss the purpose and structure o pharmacist's agenda with the patient)	f the consultation (i.e. shares										
A4.	Invite the patient to discuss medication and/or health related issues (explores patient's agenda)								"WEAKNESSES"			
A5.	A5. Negotiate a shared agenda (prioritising issues to be discussed considering pharmacist's objectives & patient's needs)											
A6.	Pay attention to comfort and privacy											
		0	•		1		-	2	3	4		
	GLOBAL RATING:	I was not able to build a therapeutic relationship with the patient						I was partially able to build a therapeutic relationship with the patient		I was fully able to build a therapeutic relationship with the patient		

^{© 2005} Abdel Tawab R, Davies GJ, Horne R & James DH. School of Pharmacy & Biomolecular Sciences, University of Brighton. Reproduce with permission (r.abdel-tawab@brighton.ac.uk)

В	. DATA COLLECTION & PROBL	EM IDENTIFICATION	1	2	3	4	N/a		"STRENGTHS"	
B1.	Document a full medication history Prescribed medication, frequence route of administration Allergies – type/ nature Drug sensitivities or intolerances, Self-medication (OTC, supermarked Complementary & alternative herbal medicines, etc.) Recreational drug use	Adverse drug reactions et, etc.)								
B2.	Assess the patient's understanding treatment (i.e. does patient know wh the likely benefits of treatment)									
B3.	Elicit the patient's (lay) understanding particularly relevant if patient is NO treatment)									
B4.	Elicit concerns about treatment (e.g. side effects)	beliefs about potential risks or								
B5.	Explore social history (alcohol, smo occupation, diet, impact of medication of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Illness	s management									
B6.	Explore the patient's experience/ contro	ol of symptoms							"WEAKNESSES"	
B7.	Ask how the patient monitors the measurement etc.)	illness (e.g. peak flow, BP								
B8.	Undertake an appropriate physical asse	essment (when indicated)								
Adher	rence assessment									
B9.	Ask how often the patient misses dose	(s) of treatment								
B10.	Identify reasons for missed dose(s) (un	intentional or intentional)								
B11.	Explore the patient's attitudes towards whether patient wishes to be prescribed	` `								
Explo	ring patient's wish for involvement									
B12.	Ask how much/ what information the p solutions to patient's needs	atient wants before discussing								
B13.	Ask how involved the patient wants to be	oe in decision making								
Proble	Problem identification									
B14.	B14. Identify and prioritise the patient's pharmaceutical problems (by summarising the identified pharmaceutical problems)									
B15.	Re-negotiate agendas (if necessary discussed considering pharmacist's objective agendas)									
	GLOBAL RATING:	I was not able to identify the patients pharmaceutical care needs			1			I was partially able to identify the patients pharmaceutical care needs	3	I was fully able to identify the patients pharmaceutical care needs

How well did you undertake the following activities? 1 = not at all, 2 = touched upon; 3 = adequate; 4 = very good; N/a = not applicable

	C. ACTION/ SOLUTIONS		1	2	3	4	N/a		"STRENGTHS"	
C1.	Relate information to the patient's (addresses information gaps, sellin concerns/ risks of treatment)									
C2.	Involve the patient in designing a maproblem(s) (e.g. discusses options/ rational problem(s) (e.g. discusses options)									
C3.	Give advice on how & when to take me negotiates follow up (if necessary)	edication, length of treatment &								
C4.	Check the patient's ability to follow pla any problem following the plan e.g. in t time or physical ability)							"WEAKNESSES"		
C5.	C5. Check the patient's understanding (e.g. invites patient to recap)									
C6.	C6. Discuss lifestyle issues/ prevention strategies (health promotion issues)									
C7.	Determine whether the patient w explanation	ants additional information/								
C8.	REFER appropriately to other healthca recognise own professional boundaries									
		0		•	1		•	2	3	4
	GLOBAL RATING:	I was not able to establish an acceptable management plan with the patient						I was partially able to establish an acceptable management plan with the patient		I was fully able to establish an acceptable management plan with the patient

How well did you undertake the following activities? 1 = not at all, 2 = touched upon; 3 = adequate; 4 = very good; N/a = not applicable

	D. CLOSING THE CONSULTATION			2	3	4	N/a		"STRENGTHS"	
D1.	D1. Explain what to do if the patient has difficulties to follow plan and whom to contact									
D2.	D2. Provide a further appointment or contact point								"WEAKNESSES"	
D3.	D3. Offer the opportunity to ask further questions with regard to issues discussed in the consultation									
		0			1			2	3	4
	GLOBAL RATING: I was not able to negotiate "safety netting" strategies with the patient							I was partially able to negotiate "safety netting" strategies with the patient		I was fully able to negotiate "safety netting" strategies with the patient

N.B. "Safety netting" entails a discussion of possible unexpected outcomes and what to do if agreed management plan can not be implemented as anticipated.

How well did you demonstrate the following behaviours?

1 = not at all, 2 = poor; 3 = adequate; 4 = very good; N/a = not applicable

	E. CONSULTATION BEHAVIOURS				4	N/a	"STRENGTHS"
E1.	Listen actively & allows patient to complete statements without	•	2	3	•	11,0	
	interruption (i.e. keeps eye contact, verbal acknowledgement, non verbal feedback)						
E2.	Ask relevant questions						
E3.	Use open & closed questions appropriately						
E4.	Avoid or explain jargon						
E5.	Accept the patient (i.e. respects patient, Is not judgemental or patronising)						
E6.	Demonstrate empathy with and support the patient (e.g. expresses concern, understanding, willingness to help, acknowledges coping efforts)						(CAUTA MANTA OF TO 1)
E7.	Deal sensitively with embarrassing & disturbing topics						"WEAKNESSES"
E8.	Share thinking with the patient (when appropriate) to encourage patient's involvement (if wanted)						
E9.	Use information from test results to inform decision making and to explain treatment option(s)						
E10.	Use evidence based medicine-type information to inform decision making and to explain treatment option(s)						
E11.	Adopt a structured & logical approach to the consultation						
E12.	Keeps interview "on track" or regain 'control' when necessary						
E13.	Manage time effectively (works well within the time available)						
E14.	Provide logical and correct documentation						

FINAL OVERALL IMPRESSION:

Overall my ability to consult with this patient	Poor	Borderline	Satisfactory	Good	Very good
was:					